

30 May 2017

To the Chair and Members of the Planning Committee

APPEAL DECISIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is to inform members of appeal decisions received from the planning inspectorate. Copies of the relevant decision letters are attached for information.

RECOMMENDATIONS

2. That the report together with the appeal decisions be noted.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THE CITIZENS OF DONCASTER?

3. It demonstrates the ability applicants have to appeal against decisions of the Local Planning Authority and how those appeals have been assessed by the planning inspectorate.

BACKGROUND

4. Each decision has arisen from appeals made to the Planning Inspectorate.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED

5. It is helpful for the Planning Committee to be made aware of decisions made on appeals lodged against its decisions.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDED OPTION

6. To make the public aware of these decisions.

IMPACT ON THE COUNCIL'S KEY OUTCOMES

7.

	Outcomes	Implications
	Working with our partners we will provide strong leadership and governance.	Demonstrating good governance.

RISKS AND ASSUMPTIONS

8. N/A

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

9. Sections 288 and 289 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, provides that a decision of the Secretary of State or his Inspector may be challenged in the High Court. Broadly, a decision can only be challenged on one or more of the following grounds:
- a) a material breach of the Inquiries Procedure Rules;
 - b) a breach of principles of natural justice;
 - c) the Secretary of State or his Inspector in coming to his decision took into account matters which were irrelevant to that decision;
 - d) the Secretary of State or his Inspector in coming to his decision failed to take into account matters relevant to that decision;
 - e) the Secretary of State or his Inspector acted perversely in that no reasonable person in their position properly directing themselves on the relevant material, could have reached the conclusion he did;
a material error of law.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. The Director of Financial Services has advised that there are no financial implications arising from the above decision.

HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

11. There are no Human Resource implications arising from the report.

TECHNOLOGY IMPLICATIONS

12. There are no Technology implications arising from the report

EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS

13. There are no Equalities implications arising from the report.

CONSULTATION

14. N/A

BACKGROUND PAPERS

15. N/A

CONCLUSIONS

16. Decisions on the under-mentioned applications have been notified as follows:-

Application No.	Application Description & Location	Appeal Decision	Ward
15/02848/LBC	Listed building consent in connection with formation of rooftop terrace to create first floor bar area, alterations including the installation of external staircase, including demolition works within a conservation area and retrospective application for retention of outdoor seating area to the front. at 52 High Street, Bawtry, Doncaster, DN10 6JA	Appeal Dismissed 20/04/2017	Rossington And Bawtry
15/02847/FUL	Formation of rooftop terrace to create first floor bar area, alterations including the installation of external staircase, including demolition works within a conservation area and retrospective application for retention of outdoor seating area to the front. at 52 High Street, Bawtry, Doncaster, DN10 6JA	Appeal Dismissed 20/04/2017	Rossington And Bawtry

REPORT AUTHOR & CONTRIBUTORS

Mrs J Wride
01302 734859

TSI Officer
julia.wride@doncaster.gov.uk

PETER DALE
Director of Regeneration and Environment